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1. Introduction

In the current economy, organizations are striving to enhance digital capabilities to do business and ensure a smooth experience to ensure customer loyalty. Today, customers are empowered to seek out the easiest and most effective experiences. To win in this digitally connected world, you need a strong customer base along with an ecosystem of partners to fuel business growth.

Applications and Data are the cornerstone for running business processes, delivering personalized customer experiences, and collaborating with partners. As the business and technology ecosystem has become more complex, Application Programming Interfaces or APIs have interestingly become the glue between the organizations, consumers, and their partner ecosystem. While APIs have been around for quite some time, they have not only increased in numbers, but have also become scalable and can be monetized. In other words, API integration is no longer just for developers, but has become a part of the boardroom discussion.

It is important to consider how organization interacts with all segments of their customer base, derives value from the surrounding ecosystem, and thinks strategically about integration. With APIs taking the center stage across the organizations, it is time for IT leaders to assess and improve their API strategy by looking at:

- Maturity of the API platform
- Maturity of the API implementation

Just like in earlier times - the kingdoms who knew the art of war would always succeed; in today’s world it is the Art of APIs that keep organizations ahead.
# 2. Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>Artificial Intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>Application Program Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2B</td>
<td>Business-To-Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4E</td>
<td>Center for Enablement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoE</td>
<td>Center for Excellence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESB</td>
<td>Enterprise Service Bus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAQ</td>
<td>Frequently Asked Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTP</td>
<td>File Transfer Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>High Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HATEOAS</td>
<td>Hypermedia As The Engine Of Application State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ/OQ</td>
<td>Installation Qualification And Operational Qualification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Information Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB</td>
<td>Load Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOB</td>
<td>Line Of Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>Machine Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVP</td>
<td>Minimum Viable Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POS</td>
<td>Point of Sales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAML</td>
<td>RESTful API Modeling Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REST</td>
<td>Representational State Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFI</td>
<td>Request for Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFP</td>
<td>Request for Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDLC</td>
<td>Software Development Life Cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLA</td>
<td>Service Level Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SME</td>
<td>Subject Matter Expert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOA</td>
<td>Service Oriented Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOAP</td>
<td>Simple Object Access Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSDL</td>
<td>Web Services Description Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XML</td>
<td>Extensible Markup Language</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Why API Maturity model?

APIs have been around for some time now as a way for IT organizations to offer services, connect systems and things, and adopt an omni-channel strategy and the hybrid IT paradigm. Over time, the API space has seen a lot of development and capabilities added, so much that organizations are now looking at API platforms not just for internal usage, but for leveraging it as an ecosystem to grow business and to add new revenue streams. With rapid growth comes significant time-to-market pressure, which shifts the organization’s focus from being able to look at the API as a Product to API as a Project. This emphasizes the necessity to bring focus on building platforms with the right capabilities for it to cater to the future needs, rather than fulfill just-in-time project needs.

The transition from API as a project to product is a journey that most organizations are on. This requires an understanding of your current level of maturity and the steps to be taken to move to the next level. Here, API maturity can be assessed by looking at two key aspects:

- **API Platform**
- **API Implementation**

API Platform maturity is assessed based on the platform capabilities, which ensures that an ecosystem is supported. It caters to below aspects.

**Gateway | Governance | Throttling | Metering | Availability | Scale | Self-service | Analytics | Developer Portal | Automation Dev-Ops | Change management**

and more. It’s a way to ensure that doing business is made simple, effective and futuristic.

On the other hand, API implementation ensures the maintainability and readability of the API along with the adoption of API implementation standards. The maturity of the API setup for an organization is dependent on both the Platform and Implementation.
API maturity is a journey that starts from the initiation stage and is aligned to the transformation needs of an organization. It is a five-step journey starting from the initiation phase, and organizations mature based on their API strategy, ongoing initiatives, and progress made. The other way to look at this is that the levels are an outcome of gaps between organization’s needs and barriers to success. While the definition of maturity can vary for organizations, a few could be business-led or technology-driven, and/or may have taken a mixed path. Based on our experience working in this space, we have observed that most organizations have initiated their journey with their API strategies and programs and are typically somewhere between Level two and Level three of the maturity model depending on the implementation state and timelines.

Let’s deep dive to understand each maturity level and the differences between them:

### 3.1 Initiate

Organizations at this level are starting their journey with some initial use cases and are exploring API platforms. At this level, organizations are typically addressing the ‘Why and How’ questions about APIs. At this level, organizations would be dealing with a few of the below:

- LOB/Business identification
- Initial use cases most of which are ad-hoc, building internal APIs
- Implementation of APIs which have mostly single or maximum of three consumers
- Implementation of few patterns and legacy integration use cases
- Basic or no processes in place, usage of only default monitoring options
3.2 Early Adoption

This level is about adding more features to the platform; strengthening the foundation with frameworks, governance, strategies (such as versioning, backward compatibility, and policies), adding more patterns, and making the platform more capable. Organizations at this level would want to see what more they can do to support early adoption by businesses/LOBs in ideating and building their MVPs. At this level, organizations would be characterized with few of the below:

- Ideating and realizing MVPs for businesses/LOBs
- Standards and policies such as backward compatibility, versioning strategies
- Strengthening the platform with HA, LB, caching features etc.
- Implementing API-led modeling
- Building in processes, frameworks etc.
- Emphasis on documentation has started

3.3 Enterprise Adoption

At this level, the platform initiatives are more focused on the channels, end consumer, and the usage of the API at an enterprise level. Organizations at this level are dealing with how they can improve the experience and provide secure access for the enterprise to increase adoption. It is necessary to strengthen the following to ensure ease of API usage and secure access:

- Initiate journey on developer experience, basic developer portal
- Customer facing APIs and open API specification
- Scale and security
- DevOps implementation
- Thinking of enterprise-wide API platform
- Collaboration, packaging, and billing - all in place
- Processes to support self-service and other related best practices
- Introducing C4E (Center for Enablement) to the organizations along with associated guidelines for adoption
3.4 Optimization

Once the platform is setup with features, capabilities, and automation, the organization needs to look at generating returns by optimizing abilities to measure usage, maintain implementation, promote APIs, and leverage the ecosystem. Organizations at this level are dealing with how to make the platform more optimized, measuring the usability of the platform, getting visibility in the implementation and helping users be more collaborative. At this level, you will most likely be dealing with few of the below:

- Upselling APIs as products
- API analytics, add on to the basic analytics available
- Multi-language support through documentation and developer portal capabilities
- API ecosystem enhancement and leveraging the same
- Process improvements by adding measures for strengthening self-service and minimizing governance
- Moving teams from project to product implementation

3.5 Transform

This is the highest level of maturity that drives innovation and ensures superior user experience with least possible friction. It drives greater transparency among users and organizations, offers personalized experience (if needed). Having said that, the governance, team structure, and organizations moving from project to product thinking would be more mature. Organizations at this level are dealing with questions about what else can they do to increase the API maturity and the areas to innovate in. At this level, you would most likely be dealing with few of the below:

- Innovation either through technology “Hypermedia as the Engine of Application State” (HATEOAS), Process (Self-service and Bot automation), business initiatives (collaborative offerings)
- New business models and business offerings
- Manage APIs with AI, ML capabilities
- Ecosystem integration and cognitive computing
- Social engagement driving ecosystem
- Technology innovations driven with event-driven/web hooks
- Personalization
4. Framework for measuring API maturity

The journey of API maturity would lead organizations to move from traditional value chain to being platform-driven. In other words,

The above transition is based on three derived laws of API.

- API usage - Which is related to experience
- Ecosystem - Which is related to community
- Revenue - Also referred to as monetization, an important reason why the API journey started in the first place.

3 Laws of API

API Usage $\alpha$ API Value/API Friction
API Usage $\alpha$ API Value * API Ecosystem
Revenue $\alpha$ (API Value) API Ecosystem

Examples for API value for domains like

- Airlines – API value could be customer preferences, booking, ancillary services etc.
- Banking – New products targeting newer age groups, Fintech companies partnering with banks etc.
- Pharma – Clinical trials, adverse events for research, API ecosystem for larger good
The above laws lead us to the next set of questions, which are
1. What is API friction, what does it affect and how can I address it?
2. What is an ecosystem, who are the users, how does it work?
3. What is monetization, is it internal or external?

While the above questions solve only one aspect of the API maturity puzzle, it is necessary that the questions below be addressed as well to ensure complete coverage.

4. What is the role of governance, how to structure API Team and what are the roles?
5. How do we encourage organizations to move from project to product mode?
6. How to drive maturity in monitoring?
7. How to address API security?

4.1 Friction

The concept of friction in products and applications is not something new and can be experienced by almost everyone. Friction in API is defined by resistance that an API offers to anyone who wants to use it. API friction is an indication of the level of difficulty in understanding and using the API according to developers.

**Hence the 1st law, API Usage α API Value/API Friction**

The API experience for developers increases as the usage friction reduces. There are multiple factors that could increase friction which includes:

- Understanding the API
- Testing the API
- Lack of documentation
- Technology issues
- Community problems
- Support experience

While the list can be long and be associated with the API across the software development life cycle (SDLC), there is a lot that can be done to ensure friction is reduced, provided we ask the right question across each phase and act. Below is the SDLC for API usage/consumption and typical causes of friction.
The friction introduced across the SDLC can influence the experience in some or the other way and reducing it can help in a long way.
4.2 Ecosystem

Once valuable APIs are built on a platform with the objective of increasing the reach of the organization’s offerings and services, an ecosystem is necessary to drive further adoption. In other words, if APIs expose an organization’s services/offering digitally, the ecosystem drives the usage of the APIs (Assuming friction is managed well or the API experience is good).

**Hence the 2nd law**

API Usage = API Value * API Ecosystem

Few terms that need to be introduced:

API ecosystem - The API ecosystem encourages API providers and consumers to collaborate in order to deliver previously unimaginable customer experiences.

API Economy - “The API economy is an enabler for turning a business or organization into a platform.” according to Kristin R. Moyer, vice president and distinguished analyst at Gartner. “Platforms multiply value creation because they enable business ecosystems inside and outside of the enterprise to consummate matches among users and facilitate the creation and/or exchange of goods, services, and social currency so that all participants are able to capture value.”

For the API ecosystem to be built, we first need to recognize the API economy, i.e. identify partners into categories.

![Diagram of API ecosystem categories](image)
After that, you need to build APIs as per the needs of the business participants around their assets and identify the value of those APIs for others in the ecosystem. Post that, you need to bundle the APIs related to similar assets as products and finally package the related API products as API packages in order to target various developer needs.

The above steps lead to forming a foundation for a possible successful ecosystem as it facilitates:

- Stakeholder collaboration
- Lowering of barriers
- Internal and external innovation
- Leading to self-service ideas
- Bundling of offerings from partners
- Idea generation which leads to building of new business models

Uber is possibly the best example of an API ecosystem. It is a good example of how API created business use cases where partners and customer all come together to build an ecosystem. Uber is an app-based taxi service provider, which does not own taxis and is essentially an aggregator. Its partners are API providers such as Google (Maps), Taxi Owners (Drivers), and payment gateways.

The Uber app helps customers find drivers available nearby who could help them transit between locations. To enable this, Google APIs (Maps) provide the necessary support such as location, distance, etc. related to the customer’s request to Uber. Uber, in turn, adds the necessary filters and identifies the driver who could facilitate the transit. The ecosystem further strengthens the fact that Uber’s APIs are available for Google Maps – Users of Google Maps can book taxi services right from app, instead of going to the Uber application on their devices.
APIs help connect and bring producers and consumers together to provide a good cab experience. Customers would be happy if the pickup is faster, the geographical coverage is more, and prices are lower, while the drivers would be happy only if their utilization/demand is more. APIs play a key role in maintaining this delicate balance of demand and supply.

### Uber example

- **Lower Prices**: Less Driver Downtime
- **Faster Pickups**: More Drivers
- **More Demand**: More Geographical Coverage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecosystem impact for Partner</th>
<th>Ecosystem impact for Customer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide Partners direct access to data, customer bases via APIs</td>
<td>Provide Customers direct access to logical services via APIs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- New revenue growth potential, new business cases</td>
<td>- Makes easier for customer to transact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Increases stickiness with partners</td>
<td>- Improves Customer relation, experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Increase market share, transaction volumes, and revenue</td>
<td>- Increase ease in consuming the services as one logical unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.3 Monetization

Business is generally about revenue, either direct or indirect. In the context of API, revenue is driven by consumption of APIs, i.e. either the consumption is charged or API consumption drive the organization’s business.

In the case of Uber:
Uber APIs consumed by Google Maps may not be charged, as they drive Uber’s business. On the other hand, Google may charge Uber for consuming its APIs for Maps.

**Hence the 3rd law**: Revenue $\alpha$ (API Value)
The concept of monetization for an organization can be driven by two aspects, Consumers and Assets. The consumers are generally internal or external for an organization.

Assets for an organization are based on what it can sell or monetize, which is either Data or Services.
Now, for an organization to monetize its offerings, there needs to be a business model based on which the charging/revenue could be generated or shared.

### 4.4 Governance and API team

The governance and API teams are different; the former deals with ensuring standards, security, access control etc., while the latter deals with API implementation - addressing Minimum Viable Product (MVP), API experience, and business value.

Governance involves enforcing a set of policies not just in runtime, but also throughout the design and development process. It deals with a lot of moving parts to ensure that the APIs are consistent across the organization. Problems such as duplicate code are prevented, tight coupling between components are ensured and problems such as unreliability, too many services and standards are addressed. The right governance mechanism helps both the organization and the consumer to build trust in the ecosystem.
Assessing API governance requires consideration of the following aspects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Understanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centralization</td>
<td>A single source (team) of authority that creates and decides on policies and enforces the same across the organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API Contract</td>
<td>Reusability, standardization, and consistency starts from here. Establishing a contract helps ensure that APIs are consistent and reusable. It also allows development teams to work in parallel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Versioning</td>
<td>Businesses keep evolving and so do the needs for an API. Versioning helps developers keep track of and maintain different versions of APIs. The versioning and related notes could help organization take informed decisions on deprecation of API as needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deprecation Policy</td>
<td>Deprecation is a process of removing the earlier version of an API. Versioning, along with good documentation, helps make it very easy for an organization/developer to know what to expect as APIs are deprecated. Versioning and Deprecation together bring a lot of stability in the API usage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automation</td>
<td>Is a large topic that definitely consists of DevOps, but across the SDLC, there are many steps, which can be automated such as API contracts, documentation, tracking, code review etc. Organizations can look at multiple tools available today that automate API design and governance processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reusability</td>
<td>Duplication of functionalities is a major concern for organizations. It consumes time, resources and adds overhead during migration, consolidation, enhancements etc. By ensuring the right categorization of services, documentation, and discoverability, you can manage the challenges related to reusability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Style</td>
<td>Establishing and enforcing style guidelines for all APIs ensures readability and consistency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracking</td>
<td>Governance can’t be enforced without tracking. Organizations should know where the APIs are deployed, how they are being used, who and how many consumers are there for the APIs. This helps in taking informed decisions for scaling, migrating, downtime etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API Discovery</td>
<td>Once the organization wants to drive self-service after creation of the APIs, the ability to search and discover the latter is critical from the user experience perspective. A method for depicting dependencies also becomes necessary for analyzing the future impact of apps consuming your APIs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are other factors that can also be considered such as Change management, Auditing etc., but we would like to assume that they would be consistent across the organization.

The API team’s responsibility cuts across the entire API lifecycle. It is important to first understand the responsibilities of the team and identify roles which suit them. The focus is not on enforcing a team structure that is centralized, federated or distributed, but on allocating the responsibilities to the roles identified such that the organization is able to deliver API products to developers consistently and eventually support the business.

The team’s main objective is to bring uniformity in the API creation process by ensuring that APIs are created for consumption and that access to applications and other back-end data is provided in a consistent manner. Having said that, the expectation of the organization does not end there. It would need the API team to think in terms of what business value they are driving, the developer experience they are providing and finally, the product they are building.
The expectations from API team leads to the following roles:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expectation</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>API product, Developer experience</td>
<td>API Architect</td>
<td>Responsible for planning, designing, and reviewing the API builds. They ensure the standards and guidelines are met as defined by the governance team. They are the thread which ties the requirements, existing implementations (backend), NFRs, guidelines, needs of the developers consuming the APIs and eventually designing APIs that meet the needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API product, Developer experience</td>
<td>API Developer</td>
<td>The architect provides the skeleton for the API through designs, while the developer needs to fill in the code and related documentation, which would help the developers to consume the APIs easily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer experience</td>
<td>API Evangelist</td>
<td>API evangelist is a very critical role and is responsible for ensuring that the APIs meet their end objective of being consumed. This role is responsible for managing the developer portal and ensuring developers have access to detailed information on the product offering, including documentation etc. The evangelist also needs to bring awareness of the APIs to communities within and outside of the organization through marketing campaigns, events, road shows discussions etc. as a way to expand the ecosystem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business value</td>
<td>API Champion</td>
<td>They are the drivers and influencers from the business side and capable of converging an organization’s API programs to align with corporate goals. They would also develop strategic business goals linked to the API product offerings that benefits the organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>API Product Manager</td>
<td>API product manager is the SME for the API. They are responsible for converting organization’s vision for Digital Service/Offerings to API products. They are responsible for managing the API product lifecycle right from the requirements stage to the implementation. As SMEs, they understand the technology and are responsible for creating a roadmap for achieving the expected business value. They are the go-to people in the organization for coordination between different stakeholders and prioritizing activities as part of the API roadmap.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.5 API Monitoring

API monitoring refers to the practice of monitoring APIs, most commonly in production, to gain visibility into performance, availability, and functional correctness. API monitoring capability is designed to help organizations analyze the performance of applications and take informed decisions to improve poorly performing APIs. They provide a measure of how long a routine takes to execute, how often it is called, where it is called from, and the total time spent in executing that transaction etc. IT organizations require a deeper and more precise understanding of what is happening across their IT environments. According to a survey by Enterprise Management Associates (EMA), most enterprises find it difficult to find the right monitoring strategy to manage their environments. At the same time, over 65% of enterprise organizations have more than 10 monitoring tools. These monitoring tools could be home-grown and specific to a project or task. Now, the level of API monitoring maturity depends on what an organization wants to gauge. A holistic approach for API monitoring not only helps detect, diagnose, and address performance issues, but also helps organizations trust their systems and understand the various bottlenecks and impacts on the platform. Based on the level of understanding, there are typically four levels of monitoring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level Names</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td>At this level, organizations possess basic capabilities for API monitoring. They have the capabilities to understand health of the APIs, get notifications. However, they would not understand why they are underperforming, in case they are.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![API Monitoring Maturity Diagram](image-url)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level Names</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visibility</td>
<td>At this level, organizations will capitalize on available information through monitoring capabilities which provide metrics, logs, and traces. The information would be helpful for tracing root causes, if needed. The visibility would still be at a level of the API and will not provide the information (status/availability) of its dependencies such as databases, FTP servers, file server, topics, and queues in messaging layer etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insight</td>
<td>This stage is about what most organizations would aspire to be at a minimum. Organizations have a consolidated view of APIs along with their dependencies which helps them: • Reduce time to assess impact due to down time • Reduce time between issue reporting and issue resolution Though organizations have the capability to sense and detect, their actions would still be reactive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediction</td>
<td>Prevention is better than cure. The capability of the organization at this level helps them access insights, which helps them take proactive measures before failures are reported. The solutions could involve scaling of APIs for load predicted, stopping APIs if needed, and taking measures for throttling the requests and beyond.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.6 API Security

Security is crucial in any implementation. When an organization decides to implement API, it must look at the opportunity holistically and ensure that the security is catering to all needs of applications, data, and infrastructure. Before the organization gets to the next level, it is necessary to understand where to start.
The expectations from API team leads to the following roles:

- **0** Private APIs for Internal Integration
- **1** Internal Collaboration for APIs, COE and Governance
- **2** API Access to Identified Partners
- **3** APIs as products, External Developer Access

Usually we think of security **only here, but …**

Security issues existed from the start of the API journey.
- **Internal users** → **Internal teams** → **External partners** → **Public access**
- **Bad guys may be present anywhere** (Not intentional always)
- **Accessible infrastructure, not only API access**

There are multiple facets of API security and it is the responsibility of the implementers to understand the current implementation, future needs, identify the gaps, and address them across:

- Authentication and Authorization
- Data (Encryption/Decryption)
- Monitoring
- Infrastructure

5. Conclusion

In the digital era, where businesses are aggressively selling their offerings and nurturing an ecosystem, sitting on the sidelines is no longer an option. Organizations need to ensure that the customer experience is optimal, and their services, data, and offerings are available digitally to the consumer for usage. Obvious steps include an organization’s need to understand where they are on the API journey and its maturity. Based on the various maturity parameters, capabilities, and possibilities, we have created an API maturity model that can provide organizations the means to assess their API program maturity.
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